



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union



LICEUL TEHNOLOGIC DE  
MECATRONICĂ ȘI AUTOMATIZĂRI

Școala Europeană, Școala MeST-TOP  
Iași, Str. Mihropoii Vărlaam, nr. 54  
Tel: 0232 237710, 0332 806734; Tel/Fax: 0232 237827

## Evaluation of the second transnational project meeting

Malaga, 31th May-1rst June 2018

Meeting hosted by CENTRO SUPERIOR DE FORMACION EUROPA SUR

Participating Organisations:

1. Romania – Liceul Tehnologic de Mecatronică și Automatizări
2. Romania - EuroEd Iași
3. Spain - CECE (CONFEDERACION ESPANOLA DE CENTROS DE ENSEÑANZA ASOCIACION)
4. Spain - CESUR (CENTRO SUPERIOR DE FORMACION EUROPA SUR)
5. Bulgaria - IT-World.BG
6. Bulgaria - Bulgarian Association of Networking Academies
7. Italy - CIPAT
8. Italy - PIXEL - ASSOCIAZIONE CULTURALE

All partner organisations have been participated into the meeting and sent their feed-back of the quality and relevance of this meeting via Google Form:

[https://docs.google.com/forms/d/19UfLASCJbFBTXn60XDfCq9WYXSSVCq\\_oxNoF7bI2k0/edit](https://docs.google.com/forms/d/19UfLASCJbFBTXn60XDfCq9WYXSSVCq_oxNoF7bI2k0/edit)

The results of this evaluation show that the overall meeting has been organised on good premises and offered good quality information as an essential check-point after 9 months of project.

Quality of the trans-national element:

- Each partner contributed to the debates and the overall success of the event
- Partners shared roles and responsibilities during the event or as part of the overall project
- There has been a mutual understanding amongst partners about project and event rationale and the short-term/long-term objectives of the event and the project
- There were clear evidences in the event programme of link with the overarching transnational project and the relevant funding programme.
- There was a proper extent of the opportunity for participants to share relevant information about their own countries was relevant.
- There was a proper extent to which a reasonable representation of participants from various countries has been achieved.

#### Structure, content and delivery of the event:

- There was a clear evidence of planning and realistic timescales for discussions.
- The activities included an appropriate content, clearly related to the aims/objectives of the event.
- The needs and expectations of participants have been taken into account and the participants had the opportunity to contribute their own expertise.

#### Materials, resources, equipment:

- There was a sufficient range and suitability of resources (including ICT, internet) available and the materials issued during the event were relevant and clear.

#### Quality of the domestic arrangements:

- The working venue was suitable, special requirements of participants have been taken account of (if any).

#### **Positive aspects of the meeting:**

- Perfect organization, excellent collaboration between the partners, excellent pre coordination
- up to date tasks have been accomplished, open dialogue, existence of examples of good practice
  - The participants are willing to work hardly
  - The next steps are now perfectly clear
  - The chosen venue and the city
- Good atmosphere, relevant materială, excelent host the partnership, the city
- The quality of the information, the quality of the presented materials and the presentation of the meeting.
- Two separate locations, the school tour, discussions were constructive
  - the meeting was productive, with clear deadlines;
  - all the participants had the oportunity to expose his own ideeas to achive the objectives of the project;
  - the meeting was very good planed, each partner contributed to the disscusions. good working atmosphere, cooperation, clarity of objectives
- Great organization from the hosting partner, clear explanations from the coordinator and good relationship among the partners.
- Active partners 2) good communication 3) Everyone works for the good of the project
- Good organization, quality of the school tour, active cooperation

#### **Aspects of the meeting that need improvement in the future.**

- all partners to read the materials of the rest before coming to the transnational meetings
- more involvement of partners in the debates, some informal events included in the agenda would improve communication between partners,

- Some preliminary preparations from the partners were insufficient
- Everithing was clear, no aspect needs improvement.
- My expectations were fully met.
- Punctuality
- Maximized time to work. 2) More openmind take in account all the options.
- Punctuality of the evaluation of the work performed