



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



LICEUL TEHNOLOGIC DE
MECATRONICA ȘI AUTOMATIZĂRI

Scoala Europeană, Școala MeST-TOP
Iași, Str. Mihailoșii Vărzăni, nr. 54
Tel: 0232 237710, 0332 806734; Tel/Fax: 0232 237827

Evaluation of the first transnational project meeting

Iasi, 15th-16th February 2018

Meeting hosted by Technological High-School of Mechatronics and Automation

Participating Organisations:

1. Romania – Liceul Tehnologic de Mecatronică și Automatizări
2. Romania - EuroEd Iași
3. Spain - CECE (CONFEDERACION ESPANOLA DE CENTROS DE ENSEANZA ASOCIACION)
4. Spain - CESUR (CENTRO SUPERIOR DE FORMACION EUROPA SUR)
5. Bulgaria - IT-World.BG
6. Bulgaria - Bulgarian Association of Networking Academies
7. Italy - CIPAT
8. Italy - PIXEL - ASSOCIAZIONE CULTURALE

All partner organisations have been participated into the meeting and sent their feed-back of the quality and relevance of this meeting via Google Form:

<https://goo.gl/forms/wIHQ4M4cPglKPpcn1>

The results of this evaluation show that the overall meeting has been organised on good premises and offered good quality information as a first transnational management meeting,

Quality of the trans-national element:

- Each partner contributed to the debates and the overall success of the event
- Partners shared roles and responsibilities during the event or as part of the overall project
- There has been a mutual understanding amongst partners about project and event rationale and the short-term/long-term objectives of the event and the project
- There were clear evidences in the event programme of link with the overarching transnational project and the relevant funding programme.
- There was a proper extent of the opportunity for participants to share relevant information about their own countries was relevant.
- There was a proper extent to which a reasonable representation of participants from various countries has been achieved.

Structure, content and delivery of the event:

- There was a clear evidence of planning and realistic timescales for discussions.
- The activities included an appropriate content, clearly related to the aims/objectives of the event.
- The needs and expectations of participants have been taken into account and the participants had the opportunity to contribute their own expertise.

Materials, resources, equipment:

- There was a sufficient range and suitability of resources (including ICT, internet) available and the materials issued during the event were relevant and clear.

Quality of the domestic arrangements:

- The working venue was suitable, special requirements of participants have been taken account of (if any).

Positive aspects of the meeting:

- Professional approach, sharing information, friendly atmosphere
- The group was collaborative and willing to cooperate from the very beginning -The promoter of the project was always ready to solve any doubt or question coming up - All the aspects were and especially the outputs of the project were explained perfectly in deep
- the participants and the coordinator
- Very friendly hosts and partners
- Well explained project aim, outputs and requirements
- Very good level of agreement between partners
- good collaboration between participants during the meeting;
- agreeable working atmosphere; - the open and useful exchange of ideas
- In general, the project has a great consortium formed with experts in the field of VET and Erasmus.
- The deep knowledge in the Erasmus program of the leader of the project, Dana (LTMA), and the co-leader, Andreea (EuroEd), will be very useful for the development of the project.
- The organization of the Kickoff meeting has been excellent.
- friendly atmosphere, professionalism, overall organization of the meeting.
- Professional presentation among the project's sections (delivered by Andreea and Dana);
- All aspects concerning to this start of the project were clarified.
- Complete, incisive, informal

Aspects of the meeting that need improvement in the future.

- more time to explore the city :)
- The time management were fairly spent. Maybe a but rushy toward the end.
- As was little discussed, maybe organise a meeting in 2 days with working time scheduled in 2 mornings

- More time for open discussions
- some team building time
- weather :)
- Nothing actually. maybe a shorter break for lunch if we need to work in the afternoon. It has already been proposed to organize future meetings on two half days, which in my opinion is the best solution.
- Because of the early departure from 2 partner in the second day, the agenda was developed in only one day. Maybe the items of the project and the agenda were analyzed very quick. We consider the Kickoff meeting the main meeting of a Erasmus project.
- Strenuous, more abstract
- Discussing for a long amount of time about economical aspects was hard